



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJAST_3244
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF NATURALLY OCCURRING RADIONUCLIDE MATERIALS FROM SOLID MINERALS PROCESSING IN ZAMFARA STATE, NIGERIA

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 44; expression required revision "Radiation exposures arise in the mining and mineral processing industries through three principal pathways: external gamma radiation from ores, concentrates and the like; inhalation of dusts containing long-lived alpha-emitting radionuclides; and inhalation of the short-lived decay products of radon." Line 98; "e. t. c." Line 54; reference required "According to United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, about 13 million workers are exposed to natural sources of radiation." Line 107-117; Related Reference (Standard) required Line 145; Check the thickness of the table lines. Line 228; equation required reference.	Line 44; expression revised to "Radiation exposures arise in the mining and mineral processing industries through three principal pathways. These are external gamma radiation from ores, inhalation of dusts containing long-lived alpha-emitting radionuclides and inhalation of the short-lived decay products of radon." Line 98; "e. t. c." deleted Line 54; reference added Line 107-117; reference added Line 145; table lines thickness reduce to 1pt Line 228; reference added
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Figure 1 and Figure 2 have same data sources. Remove Figure 2.	Figure 2 removed